## **Peckham Rye Station Enhancement**

## Focus Group Meeting 2

Time 18:00 – 20:20 Dαte 2 December 2020

Location By Zoom Video Conference

### Attendees

Andrew Wood – Network Rail (AW)

Phil Johnson – INVVU (PJ) Shane Hatton – INVVU (SH)

Adam Brown – Landolt & Brown (AB)

Benny O'Looney – Architect (BO)

Paul Best – Govia Thameslink Railway (PB)

Leanne Todd – London Borough of Southwark (LT)

Peter Babudu – London Borough of Southwark (PB)

Charlotte Grinling (CG)

Clyde Watson–Peckham Vision (CW)

Eileen Conn – Peckham Vision (EC)

Mickey Smith (MS)

Simon Moss (SMo)

Lucy Devine (LD)

## **Apologies**

Neil Kirby – London Borough of Southwark (NK) Richard Nutkins – Network Rail Property (RN)

# **ACTIONS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS** (Closed Actions, Decisions and Notes will be removed on future minutes)

No.	ITEM DETAIL	Who by When	Response
1	Diversity Impact Assessment - EC suggested that the project should contact the Forum for Equalities & Human Rights, to seek their views.  ACTION: Add organisation to Diversity Impact Assessment register. The next quarterly meeting is on Tuesday 15 <sup>th</sup> September	AW by 11/09/20	Closed. Added to register 14/09/20
	ACTION: Provide a few paragraphs to EC to request views	AW by 11/09/20	Closed. Provided 11/09/20. AW had conversation with David Stock on 14/09/20 pm.
2	Forecourt - The group indicated the station forecourt gathers a lot of rubbish. ACTION: Aside from GTR jet clean every 4 weeks, GTR to ask station team to sweep station forecourt every day as part of station clean	PB by 18/09/20	Closed. GTR have confirmed the station team will clean on a daily basis outside the station in the forecourt area.

•	OFFICIAL	I	
3	Engagement - The project is likely to affect some neighbouring businesses operating on Arch Company leases.		
	ACTION: Request that The Arch Company provide a representative to attend Focus Groups and Workshops going forward	RN by 18/09/20	Closed. AW met Arch Co on 04/12/20, who have now committed to attend Focus Groups going forward
4	Old Waiting Room – the group discussed whether this could form part of the future station design. This space will be considered as part of the future station design, however RN commented that this space is now a commercial lease owned by The Arch Company. To buy this space back from The Arch Company would require Network Rail to demonstrate it was intrinsically required for rail/station operations. Given what we know today, it is questionable that an argument could be made for this. Aside from this, The Arch Company have said they are considering development of the space and access to it, alongside any station development. At the very least, by providing lift access to platforms, the project would be providing access for all users to this space.		
5	Engagement - The project and its ongoing development is likely to have an effect on lineside neighbour residents on Holly Grove. The group suggested it would be useful to have representation from residents at the Focus Group. AW already has a relationship with Ms Clare Price a resident at no.6 Holly Grove ACTION: Approach Ms Price by email to provide a briefing of the project and potential impacts and also to discuss how representation from Holly Grove residents may best be achieved	AW by 18/09/20	Closed. AW has contacted Mrs Price 14/09/20, but she has not responded. AW also contacted Lucy Devine who has accepted.
6	Future Workshops – MS suggested an eye-catching leaflet promoting the workshops would be effective, or advertisements in South London Press or Southwark News. Digitally make use of Instragram and Twitter ACTION: Produce a leaflet to advertise the workshop, to put in the station concourse	AW by 11/10/20	Closed. We decided to put a poster in the station concourse, with a QR code people can scan for ease of use.
	ACTION: Identify opportunities for distribution with MS	AW by 11/10/20	Closed. E-mailed MS on 13/10/20.

OFFICIAL			
7	Website – groups suggested the intended website to act as a portal for information and ongoing engagement. Communication going forward is key! ACTION: Explore potential for website to share agenda, minutes, issue log, design options and a comments box for providing feedback	30/09/20	Closed. Following initial difficulties, minutes, issue log, presentation and a survey have been loaded onto dedicated web page
8	Workshop – whilst a virtual session is proposed owing to Pandemic, the group asked whether a safe physical session was possible for those who don't have digital access or where it is difficult for them ACTION: Investigate possibility of physical session	AW by 10/10/20	Closed. At the moment Network Rail corporate policy will not permit a physical workshop owing to pandemic safety risk. However, we will review this should policy change.
9	Recent improvements - The group commended the recent improvements to the station forecourt but suggested these be communicated to the local community as benefits of our collaboration ACTION: Explore publicising improvements perhaps as part of the next Station Square update	NK by 31/10/20	Open. AW is meeting station square project team on 16/12/20 to share information and explore publicising. Additional meeting on 15 <sup>th</sup> to update LB Southwark team
10	Bins - The lack of bins in the forecourt was seen as problem, leading to accumulation of rubbish on the floor ACTION: Consider provision of bins in the public right of way	NK by 02/12/20	

11	Wayfinding – wayfinding inside the station and in the		
	public realm was seen as deficient ACTION: Approach Transport Signs to conduct an initial survey inside the station, using project funds	PB by 02/12/20	Open. Transport Signs has delivered its review with proposals. AW & PB to review to identify improvements and how they can be funded.
	ACTION: Review signage to station in the public realm vicinity	NK by 02/12/20	Closed. NK reported no plans to change external signage in the short term
12	Arch Company declined an offer for graffiti removal on their properties over the summer, suggest LB Southwark approach for a solution ACTION: In next meeting between LB Southwark and Arch Company, discuss graffiti removal	NK by 10/10/20	Open. NK has met with and suggested this to
			Arch Co, no success yet. LT to chase up by 13/01/21. AW met with Arch Co 04/12/20 who agreed to the principle of a mural / artwork.
	ACTION: Approach Peckham Peculiar to explore identifying need for this cleaning work by Arch Co	AW by 15/12/20	Open. AW e-mailed on 21/10/20 no response, has emailed again 02/12/20
13	MS commented that the project should seek more diverse local involvement in the project. EC suggested the Rye Lan Chapel could be a good place to start to see if they are interested in helping		
	ACTION: Approach Lilian Livingstone at Rye Lane Chapel	AW by 30/09/20	Closed. AW approached Ms Livingston on 14/09/20 with no response. AW has added her to invite list for public workshops
	ACTION: Speak with NK about further representation, potentially involving Penn People for representation on Focus Group	AB by 30/09/20	Closed. NK view was involving Penn People was not appropriate.
14	Homeless people in Blenheim Grove passageway – two homeless people residing with mattresses leaving only 0.5m space for public to pass by on Blenheim Grove to access station.		
	ACTION: LB Southwark to review whether these people can be moved to improve access		Open. LT liaising with the housing solutions team

	OFFICIAL	1	1
15	Involvement of Blenheim Grove residents – the group wanted to ensure these residents were involved given the impact ACTION: Contact Madeleine Lewis by email to invite to	AW by	Open. AW emailed Ms
	Focus Group & Public Workshops	04/12/20	Lewis 02/12/20
16	Exterior of the station - MS suggested using commercial artwork as a way of funding a clean-up. This has been used successfully in other areas in London. ACTION: Consider whether exterior elevations could receive this treatment.	NK / LT by 13/01/21	Open. LT has agreed it would be a good idea. AW met Arch Co on 04/12/20 who have no objection to their elevations being used, subject to the normal consents process. LT and MS to explore further
17	January Public Workshop – the group encouraged the project to get the message out as wide as possible! The group suggested improving the design of the poster, potentially using a catchy visual, MS suggested refine your core message, use a strap line. The poster and leaflet should focus on encouraging attendance to the January workshop.  ACTION: Improve an refine poster and leaflet for use There is an opportunity to provide a poster and leaflets at Pexmas at the Copeland Gallery (contact <a href="mailto:sarah@pexmas.com">sarah@pexmas.com</a> )  ACTION: Contact Sarah Taylor to explore providing	AW by 07/12/20 AW by	Open. Open. AW emailed Ms
	poster and leaflets at Pexmus The group agreed we must get the leaflets to local residents and share in bars and restaurants ACTION: post leaflets to Blenheim, Holly & Elm Grove residents and to local bars and restaurants	04/12/20 AW by 15/12/20	Taylor 02/12/20
18	Rear Gateline – CG asked what the expected use of a rear gateline would be, given knowledge of Blenheim Grove residents concern over the use of the arch access into Dovedale Court.  Our modellers assumptions are that 20% of entry/exit would use any rear facing gateline either to access the businesses in Dovedale Court, or as a means of accessing the neighbourhood to the west. Many of the latter would of course be walking down Blenheim Grove today anyway. It is thought that a rear gateline by providing direct access to Dugdale Court would reduce the number of people having to use Blenheim Grove and the arch access Dovedale Court businesses.		

**NEXT MEETING:** 18:00 – 20:00, 24 February 2020, by Zoom Video Conference