

Peckham Rye Station Enhancement

Focus Group Meeting 1

Time 18:00 – 20:20
 Date 9 September 2020
 Location By Zoom Video Conference

Attendees

Andrew Wood – Network Rail (AW) Phil Johnson – INVVU (PJ)
 Steve Smith – INVVU (SS)
 Adam Brown – Landolt & Brown (AB)
 Benny O’Looney – Architect (BO)
 Richard Nutkins – Network Rail Property (RN)
 Paul Best – Govia Thameslink Railway (PB)
 Olivia Barlow – Govia Thameslink Railway (OB)
 Neil Kirby – London Borough of Southwark (NK)
 Leanne Todd – London Borough of Southwark (LT) Richard Livingstone – London Borough of Southwark (RL)

Apologies

Charlotte Grinling (CG)
 Clyde Watson– Peckham Vision
 (CW) Eileen Conn – Peckham
 Vision (EC) Guy Forrester (GF)
 Mickey Smith (MS)
 Simon Moss (SMo)
 Sven Munderer (SMu)

ACTIONS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS (*Closed Actions, Decisions and Notes will be removed on future minutes*)

No.	ITEM DETAIL	Who by When	Response
1	<p>Diversity Impact Assessment - EC suggested that the project should contact the Forum for Equalities & Human Rights, to seek their views.</p> <p>ACTION: Add organisation to Diversity Impact Assessment register. The next quarterly meeting is on Tuesday 15th September</p> <p>ACTION: Provide a few paragraphs to EC to request views</p>	<p>AW by 11/09/20</p> <p>AW by 11/09/20</p>	<p>Closed. Added to register 14/09</p> <p>Closed. Provided 11/09. AW having conversation with David Stock on 14/09 pm</p>
2	<p>Forecourt - The group indicated the station forecourt gathers a lot of rubbish.</p> <p>ACTION: Aside from GTR jet clean every 4 weeks, GTR to ask station team to sweep station forecourt every day as part of station clean</p>	<p>PB by 18/09/20</p>	<p>Closed. GTR have confirmed the station team will clean on a daily basis outside the station in the forecourt area.</p>

OFFICIAL

3	<p>Engagement - The project is likely to affect some neighbouring businesses operating on Arch Company leases.</p> <p>ACTION: Request that The Arch Company provide a representative to attend Focus Groups and Workshops going forward</p>	RN by 18/09/20	Open. AW has chased RN, but no response from Arch Co.
4	<p>Old Waiting Room – the group discussed whether this could form part of the future station design. This space will be considered as part of the future station design, however RN commented that this space is now a commercial lease owned by The Arch Company. To buy this space back from The Arch Company would require Network Rail to demonstrate it was intrinsically required for rail/station operations. Given what we know today, it is questionable that an argument could be made for this. Aside from this, The Arch Company have said they are considering development of the space and access to it, alongside any station development. At the very least, by providing lift access to platforms, the project would be providing access for all users to this space.</p>		
5	<p>Engagement - The project and its ongoing development is likely to have an effect on lineside neighbour residents on Holly Grove. The group suggested it would be useful to have representation from residents at the Focus Group. AW already has a relationship with Ms Clare Price a resident at no.6 Holly Grove</p> <p>ACTION: Approach Ms Price by email to provide a briefing of the project and potential impacts and also to discuss how representation from Holly Grove residents may best be achieved</p>	AW by 18/09/20	Closed. AW has contacted Mrs Price 14/09, but she has not responded. AW also contacted Lucy Devine who has accepted.
6	<p>Future Workshops – MS suggested an eye-catching leaflet promoting the workshops would be effective, or advertisements in South London Press or Southwark News. Digitally make use of Instagram and Twitter</p> <p>ACTION: Produce a leaflet to advertise the workshop, to put in the station concourse</p> <p>ACTION: Identify opportunities for distribution with MS</p>	<p>AW by 11/10/20</p> <p>AW by 11/10/20</p>	<p>Closed. We decided to put a poster in the station concourse, with a QR code people can scan for ease of use.</p> <p>Closed. E-mailed MS on 13/10.</p>

OFFICIAL

7	<p>Website – groups suggested the intended website to act as a portal for information and ongoing engagement. Communication going forward is key !</p> <p>ACTION: Explore potential for website to share agenda, minutes, issue log, design options and a comments box for providing feedback</p>	AW by 30/09/20	Closed. Following initial difficulties, minutes, issue log, presentation and a survey have been loaded onto dedicated web page
8	<p>Workshop – whilst a virtual session is proposed owing to Pandemic, the group asked whether a safe physical session was possible for those who don't have digital access or where it is difficult for them</p> <p>ACTION: Investigate possibility of physical session</p>	AW by 10/10/20	Closed. At the moment Network Rail corporate policy will not permit a physical workshop owing to pandemic safety risk. However, we will review this should policy change.
9	<p>Recent improvements - The group commended the recent improvements to the station forecourt but suggested these be communicated to the local community as benefits of our collaboration</p> <p>ACTION: Explore publicising improvements perhaps as part of the next Station Square update</p>	NK by 31/10/20	Open. AW emailed on 11/09 with no response. Now examining potential to share via Peckham Peculiar magazine.
10	<p>Bins - The lack of bins in the forecourt was seen as problem, leading to accumulation of rubbish on the floor</p> <p>ACTION: Consider provision of bins in the public right of way</p>	NK by 02/12/20	Open. NK is progressing
11	<p>Wayfinding – wayfinding inside the station and in the public realm was seen as deficient</p> <p>ACTION: Approach Transport Signs to conduct an initial survey inside the station, using project funds</p> <p>ACTION: Review signage to station in the public realm vicinity</p>	<p>PB by 02/12/20</p> <p>NK by 02/12/20</p>	<p>Open. AW has instructed GTR contractor Transport Signs to carry out and assessment (£3,000)</p> <p>Open.</p>

OFFICIAL

12	<p>Arch Company declined an offer for graffiti removal on their properties over the summer, suggest LB Southwark approach for a solution</p> <p>ACTION: In next meeting between LB Southwark and Arch Company, discuss graffiti removal</p>	NK by 10/10/20	Open. Awaiting meeting date. Public Workshop suggest publicising lack of action in Peckham Peculiar – AW to approach
13	<p>MS commented that the project should seek more diverse local involvement in the project. EC suggested the Rye Lan Chapel could be a good place to start to see if they are interested in helping</p> <p>ACTION: Approach Lilian Livingstone at Rye Lane Chapel</p> <p>ACTION: Speak with NK about further representation, potentially involving Penn People for representation on Focus Group</p>	<p>AW by 30/09/20</p> <p>AB by 30/09/20</p>	<p>Closed. AW approached Ms Livingston on 14/09</p> <p>Open.</p>

NEXT MEETING: 18:00 – 20:00, 2 December 2020, by Zoom Video Conference