

Updating the VUC – Horizontal rail forces

methodology

Mark Burstow Principal Vehicle Track Dynamics Specialist August 2012

Horizontal VUC methodology

Background

- Existing VUC includes components for horizontal and vertical track damage
- Horizontal track damage covers rail wear and rolling contact fatigue
 - Developed from models developed to predict RCF
- RCF/wear damage depends on wheel/rail forces (often referred to as Tgamma or T_{γ})
- *T*γ depends on
 - Vehicle suspension type
 - Curve radius
 - Cant deficiency (speed & installed cant)

- T_{γ} can only be evaluated using detailed vehicle dynamics simulations
 - The existing VUC formulation allows users to either
 - 'look-up' pre-calculated values for a range of vehicle characteristics (the '<u>vehicle curving class</u>'), or
 - do the simulations for the required vehicle and enter the values into the VTAC spreadsheet to determine the horizontal damage cost
 - A document exists to specify how to do the simulations: wheel/rail profiles, friction conditions, curve & cant deficiency, required outputs etc.

- How horizontal VTAC is calculated:
 - User inputs variation of T_{γ} with curvature for required vehicle(s)



NetworkRail

- How horizontal VTAC is calculated:
 - User inputs variation of T_{γ} with curvature for required vehicle(s)
 - Spreadsheet converts T_{γ} to wear and RCF damage for each radius
 - Same functions as those used in VTISM



NetworkRail

- How horizontal VTAC is calculated:
 - User inputs variation of T_{γ} with curvature for required vehicle(s)
 - Spreadsheet converts T_{γ} to wear and RCF damage for each radius
 - -Weights damage by population of curve radii in network



NetworkRai

- How horizontal VTAC is calculated:
 - User inputs variation of T_{γ} with curvature for required vehicle(s)
 - Spreadsheet converts T_{γ} to wear and RCF damage for each radius
 - -Weights damage by population of curve radii in network
 - Converts damage to cost for each curve and sums to get total



NetworkRai

Identified weaknesses in current process

- Simulations are done for one 'network average' cant deficiency
 - Passenger vehicles, 40mm cant deficiency
 - Freight, cant equilibrium or 20mm cant excess (depends on max speed of vehicle)
- Friction coefficients
 - Different friction conditions for tread (top of rail) and flange
 - But flange friction coefficient has probably been set higher than that for a properly lubricated flange contact
- Perfect curves
 - Does not allow for variations in track geometry alignment variations which can trigger RCF/wear
- Wheel profiles
 - Specifies one wheel profile: does not account for influence of wheel wear on damage, nor use of alternative (track friendly) wheel profiles such as P12

- It is not proposed to change the fundamental methodology philosophy
 - RCF and wear damage functions still 'state of the art'
 - Weighting of damage by national curvature
 - Use of single cant deficiency values: this would increase the complexity of analysis significantly

NetworkRai

• 1. Converting damage to cost

- The existing process converts damage to cost by equating particular RCF or wear 'damage numbers' to requirements for rail grinding or replacement
- These limits and methodology are being reviewed
 - Can better relationships between damage and maintenance requirements be developed whilst maintaining an acceptable level of simplicity/transparency?

NetworkRail

- 2. Friction coefficients
 - Evaluate the effect of changing the flange (lubricated) friction coefficients to values which we believe better describe the conditions for lubricated rail
 - What effect do these have on the simulation results?
 - Would changing them have an appreciable impact on the results and make them more 'realistic'?

- 3. Track alignment
 - Evaluate the effect of introducing 'real' track misalignment features into the curving simulations



NetworkRail



- 3. Track alignment
 - Evaluate the effect of introducing 'real' track misalignment features into the curving simulations
 - Consider how these changes affect the predicted levels of RCF, and, in conjunction with item 1 of the scope, whether we can separate the effects of 'RCF on curves' and 'RCF due to track alignment' and the how they are managed



- 4. Wheel profiles
 - Currently use a single, 'slightly worn', wheel profile
 - Wear/RCF damage depends on wheel wear (mileage)



NetworkRail

- 4. Wheel profiles
 - Currently use a single, 'slightly worn', wheel profile
 - Wear/RCF damage depends on wheel wear (mileage)
 - Current process does not allow
 - Changes in VTAC for vehicles with alternative wheel profiles (such as RCF-friendly P12): no incentive for operators to trial alternative profiles which may reduce damage
 - Assessment of the impact of wheel turning frequency to be considered: more frequent wheel turning could reduce damage/costs
 - Review will investigate how alternative wheel profiles can be assessed, and whether it is possible to account for wheelset mileage in setting VTACs

Procedure

- Vehicle dynamics simulations
 - Using 'generic' vehicle models on the range of curves currently used
 - Parametric studies to compare the influence of each of the factors to be considered
 - Friction, track alignment, wheel profiles
- Track-Ex
 - A tool for predicting wear and RCF on a section of route
 - -Will be used to validate/compare with the findings from the study

Timescales

- Aligned with work on Vertical VUC
 - Completion of study end of September '12
- Update VTAC spreadsheet with new procedures/formulations from Vertical and Horizontal study
 - One spreadsheet that can be used to give results for both Vertical and Horizontal damage (similar to existing)
 - Update VTAC rates for all existing vehicles in spreadsheet
- November / December 2012
 - Present summary of methodology and results to CP5 VUC consultation workshop
- January 2013
 - Response to comments from stakeholders following consultation
- End of March 2013: NR Publishes draft price list